VIGERIAN JOURNAL OF PAEDIATRIC

OFFICIAL JOURNAL
OF THE PAEDIATRIC
ASSOCIATION OF
NIGERIA



VOLUME 52 NUMBER 1 JANUARY – MARCH 2025

REVIEW

Exercise in Children with Bronchial Asthma: A Non-Pharmacological

Adjunct to Bronchial Asthma Management

Uchenna Onubogu C

ORIGINAL ARTICLES

Pattern of Diseases and Outcome of Hospitalization Among Children at the

Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Wonodi Woroma, West Boma A

Prevalence of Sickle Cell and Sickle Cell Trait Among Children and Adolescents in Nigeria: A Protocol for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (Prospero ID: CRD42024556354)

Issa Amudalat, Ibrahim Olayinka R, Lawal Aisha F, Abdulbaki Mariam, Ernest Kolade S

Knowledge and Attitude of Mothers Towards Donor Breast Milk in Makurdi, Nigeria

Michael Aondoaseer, Adikwu Morgan G, Ochoga Martha O

Prevalence and Risk Factors for Elevated Blood Pressure Patterns and Hypertension Among Children Attending a Tertiary Outpatient Clinic in Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Onubogu Uchenna, Briggs Datonye, West Boma, Aitafo Josephine

Effects of Adenotonsillectomy on Intermittent Hypoxia and Microalbuminuria in Children with Obstructive Symptoms
Ogundoyin Omowonuola A, AdeyemoAdebolajo A, Onakoya Paul A

Does Nutritional Status Influence the Surgical Outcome in Children with Cleft Palate at The University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt, Nigeria?

Yarhere Kesiena S, Yarhere Iroro E

Prevalence and Clinical Predictors of Hypoxaemia in Hospitalized Children with Pneumonia in Northern Nigeria

Yusuf Maimuna O, Imoudu Al-Mustapha I

LETTER TO THE EDITOR Immunotoxiepigenetic Therapeutics: Cornerstone of Paediatric Medicine

Okafor Tochukwu M, UghasoroMaduka D

EDUCATIONAL SERIES

Synopsis: Prevention of Mother-To-Child Transmission of HIV in Nigeria:

An Overview Nwolisa Emeka C

PRINT: ISSN 0302-4660 NLINE: ISSN 2814-2985

CC-BY 4.0

ORIGINAL RESEARCH



Nigerian Journal of Paediatrics 2025; Volume 52(1): 22-32. https://dx.doi.org/10.63270/njp.2025.v52.i1.2000004

Knowledge and Attitude of Mothers Towards Donor Breast Milk in Makurdi, Nigeria

Michael Aondoaseer, 1,2 Adikwu Morgan G,2 Ochoga Martha O3

¹Department of Paediatrics, Benue State University, Makurdi.

²Department of Paediatrics, Benue State University Teaching Hospital Makurdi.

³Department of Paediatrics, Federal University of Health Sciences Otukpo.

Correspondence

Dr Michael Aondoaseer, Department of Paediatrics, Benue State University Teaching Hospital Makurdi. E-mail: aseernyam@gmail.com; ORCID – https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1323-7950.

Abstract

Background: Breastfeeding is one of the most effective ways to ensure a child's health and survival. Improving breastfeeding practices could save the lives of more than 800,000 under-five children every year, the vast majority of whom are under six months of age. Early exposure to maternal antibodies, lactoferrin, oligosaccharides and other protective components in breast milk may improve neonatal and infant immune function. Mother's milk is widely recognized as the optimal feeding for term infants but also provides vital health benefits for sick and preterm infants. When mother's milk is unavailable, or in short supply, donor human milk is the second best alternative.

Objective: To determine mothers' knowledge of and attitudes toward donor breast milk.

Methods: A cross-sectional, descriptive study of 403 mothers attending antenatal/immunization clinics was conducted from September 2022 to January 2023. Data was collected using an interviewer-administered questionnaire.

Results: While 36.5% (n = 147) of mothers had heard about donor breast milk, 67.2% (n = 271) of the mothers were willing to donate their breast milk, but only 37.2% (n = 150) agreed to accept donor breastmilk for their baby. Knowledge about donor breast milk was significantly associated with educational status (p = 0.036), and the willingness to donate was significantly associated with knowledge (p = 0.015).

Conclusion: Mothers' education is a key factor influencing both knowledge and willingness to donate breastmilk

Keywords: Breastmilk, Breastfeeding, Donor milk, HIV, Milk bank, Nursing mothers.

Introduction

Breastfeeding is one of the most effective ways to ensure a child's health and survival. Beyond survival, there is growing evidence that breastfeeding boosts children's brain development and provides protection against overweight and obesity. Improving breastfeeding practices could save the lives of more than 800,000 under-five children every year, the vast majority of whom are under six

months of age.² Breastfeeding decreases the risk of mothers developing breast cancer, ovarian cancer, Type 2 Diabetes mellitus and heart diseases. It is also estimated that increased breastfeeding could avert 20,000 maternal deaths each year due to breast cancer.³

Human milk presents the optimal nutrition for infants and is key to sustaining health and building the foundation for growth and cognitive development.⁴ Early exposure to maternal antibodies, lactoferrin, oligosaccharides and other protective components in breast milk may improve neonatal and infant immune functions.⁵ Studies and meta-analyses have confirmed the association of six months of exclusive breastfeeding with decreased rates of lower respiratory tract infections, severe diarrhoea, otitis media, and obesity.⁶

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that infants be exclusively breastfed for the first six months of life. After this initial period, suitable complementary foods should be introduced, while breastfeeding can continue until 24 months of age or longer.^{4,7,8} However, only two out of five newborns worldwide are breastfed within the first hour of life, and only 41% of infants are exclusively breastfed. Initiating breastfeeding within the first hour after birth not only saves lives but also provides benefits that can last a lifetime.^{2,5,9} In Nigeria, breastfeeding rates are low, with 34% of children being exclusively breastfed while only 23% initiated breastfeeding within the first hour of life. 10 Not breastfeeding is associated with lower intelligence and economic losses of about \$302 billion annually or 0.49% of the world's gross national income.¹¹

Mother's milk is widely recognized as the optimal feeding for term infants but also provides vital health benefits for sick and preterm infants. 12 Mother's expressed milk for very low birth weight infants (≤1500 g) in the NICU provides short- and long-term health benefits, including reduction of necrotizing enterocolitis, late-onset sepsis, chronic lung disease, retinopathy of prematurity, and improved neurodevelopment. 13 When mother's milk is unavailable or in short supply, donor human milk represents the second-best alternative, and even though some nutritional elements are inactivated by pasteurization, it still has documented advantages compared to infant

formula.¹² The benefits of human milk are evident, such that the World Health Organization recommends that low birth weight (LBW) infants, including those with VLBW who cannot be fed mothers' milk, should be fed donor human milk.¹⁴ The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) also recommends pasteurized donor human milk when a mother's milk is not available or contraindicated.⁶ Moreover, the National Policy on Infant and Young Child Feeding (IYCF) in Nigeria also recommends that for motherless/adopted infants, re-lactation of a wet nurse (foster mother or caregiver) who is HIV-negative should be encouraged.¹⁵

Studies conducted in the various regions of the country indicated low awareness of donor human milk and its acceptance among mothers. ^{16–18} Therefore, this study aimed to assess the knowledge and attitudes of mothers in Makurdi regarding donor breast milk. The findings will provide valuable data for policymakers and support efforts to create awareness.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted at the Paediatric Outpatient Clinic, Immunization/Well-baby Clinic, and Antenatal and Postnatal Clinics of the Benue State University Teaching Hospital from September 1st 2022, to January 31st 2023. The hospital is a tertiary health facility located in Makurdi, the Benue State capital, and it provides general care and specialist services for patients within the state and its surrounding communities. It also serves as a referral centre. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Benue State University Teaching Hospital's Health Research Ethics Committee, while informed consent was obtained from the participants.

The study population consisted of mothers attending the various clinics listed above who were either pregnant or breastfeeding and provided informed consent. Mothers who withheld consent were excluded from the study. The sample size of 403 was determined using a single population proportion formula. The proportion of mothers who have ever heard about donor breast milk (39%) was taken from a previous study. The participants were recruited randomly during hospital visits until the calculated sample size was attained.

Data was collected using an intervieweradministered structured questionnaire including the mother's biodata, level of education, parity, occupation, source of knowledge, knowledge about donor milk, and attitudes towards donor milk in infant nutrition.

The data was analyzed using IBM SPSS version 23 and presented in tables, frequencies and means. The Chi-Squared test was used to test for associations where appropriate, and the significance level was set at p<0.05.

Results

The total number of mothers sampled was 403. The mean age was 29.78 ± 5.11 years with a higher percentage (n=141, 35.0%) of mothers aged 26-30 years and the least were aged \leq 20 years (n=4, 1.0%). Almost all the mothers (n=394, 97.8%) were married. More than half (n=216, 53.86%) of the mothers had parity between 1-2, and the least (n=22, 5.4%) were those with parity greater than or equal to five. The majority (n=249, 61.8%) of the mothers had tertiary education, and the least were those who had primary education (n=7, 1.7%), as shown in Table I.

About two-thirds (n = 256, 63.5%) of the participants had not heard about donor breast milk, while (n = 147, 36.5%) had heard about donor breast milk. Out of 147 mothers who had heard about donor breast milk, a higher proportion (n = 70, 47.6%) heard about it from healthcare workers. The majority of respondents (n = 253, 62.8%) were unaware of the existence of a breastmilk bank in Nigeria, while only a

small number (n = 22, 5.5%) reported that they knew such a bank existed. More than half (n = 231, 57.3%) of the participants were aware of the national policy on infant feeding, and a greater percentage (n = 222, 55.1%) agreed that donor breast milk is more beneficial than infant formula, as shown in Table II.

A larger percentage of mothers (n = 271, 67.2%) agreed to donate their breast milk. Among these mothers, the primary motivation for donating was the satisfaction of helping another baby (n = 129, 47.6%). A small percentage of mothers (n = 18, 4.5%) had previously donated their breast milk. Among those who had donated, the majority (66.7%) were not screened for infections such as HIV and most donations (72.2%) were made at home as shown in Table III.

Most mothers who had heard about donor breast milk were married; however, there was no statistically significant association between marital status and knowledge about donor breast milk (p = 0.229). Knowledge about donor breastmilk had a statistically significant association with educational status (p = 0.036), religion (p = 0.022), and occupation (p = 0.032), as shown in Table IV.

The willingness to donate was associated with knowledge, which was statistically significant (p = 0.015). However, there was no significant association between knowledge and desire to accept donor breast milk (p = 0.052). Among those who had heard about donor breast milk, 36.5% would require spousal consent if they were to donate, as shown in Table V. There was no statistically significant association between willingness to donate and educational status (p = 0.050). The association between the willingness to donate and the age of the mothers (p = 0.135) or their occupation (p = 0.554) was not statistically significant, as shown in Table VI.

Table I: Sociodemographic characteristics of mothers (n = 403)

| Parameter | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------|-----------|------------|
| Age (in years) | | |
| ≤20 | 4 | 1.0 |
| 21-25 | 94 | 23.3 |
| 26-30 | 141 | 35.0 |
| 31-35 | 109 | 27.0 |
| 36-40 | 49 | 12.2 |
| >40 | 6 | 1.5 |
| Marital status | | |
| Married | 394 | 97.8 |
| Single | 9 | 2.2 |
| Parity | | |
| 0 | 58 | 14.4 |
| 1-2 | 216 | 53.6 |
| 3-4 | 107 | 26.6 |
| ≥5 | 22 | 5.4 |
| Educational status | | |
| Informal education | 13 | 3.2 |
| Primary | 7 | 1.7 |
| Secondary | 124 | 30.8 |
| Tertiary | 249 | 61.8 |
| Others | 10 | 2.5 |
| Religion | | |
| Christianity | 395 | 98.0 |
| Islam | 8 | 2.0 |
| Occupation | | |
| Civil Servant | 56 | 13.9 |
| Farming | 56 | 13.9 |
| Trading | 121 | 30.0 |
| Artisan | 10 | 2.5 |
| Others | 160 | 39.7 |
| | | |

Discussion

This study reveals that 36.5% of mothers were aware of donor breast milk in infant feeding. This figure is higher than the rate (12.4%) reported by Demisse *et al.*¹⁹ in Ethiopia, 25.8% by Abhulimen-Iyoha *et al.*¹⁶ in Benin, 29.3% by Huang *et al.*²⁰ in China and 34% by Pal *et al.*²¹ in the USA. However, it is lower than the rate of 46% reported by Ogundare *et al.*²² from Ondo State and 39% by Iloh *et al.*¹⁸ from southeastern Nigeria. The primary sources of awareness about donor breast milk among mothers were health workers, friends and the mass media, and this is consistent with previous reports from Benin, ¹⁶ Ondo, ²² South East Nigeria, ¹⁸ and India. ²³ This

could be due to the practice of health education provided by health workers during hospital visits which provides an opportunity for more awareness if health workers are armed with the right knowledge about donor breast milk to facilitate its acceptance.²⁴

The knowledge about infant feeding policies was lacking, as many mothers were not aware of these policies. This finding aligns with the research findings reported by Iloh et al.18 from southeastern Nigeria, highlighting a gap in government efforts. While significant resources may be invested in formulating important policies, the intended outcomes are unlikely to be realized without proper dissemination. Some mothers recognized the significance of donor breast milk, while others were unaware of its benefits. A preference for donor breastmilk over infant formula was reported at 59.6%, which is lower than the 77% preference reported by Iloh et al. 18 In contrast, Pal et al. 21 from the USA found a higher preference for infant formula at 62%. Nonetheless, knowledge about the processing and handling of donor breast milk was generally good, aligning with the findings reported by Demisse et al.19 in Ethiopia. This could be attributed to the level of education of the mothers, as the majority of them had either secondary or tertiary education. A higher percentage (62.8%) of the mothers were unaware of breast milk banking in Nigeria, and this was similar to the report of Abhulimen-Iyoha et al. 16 in Benin, who reported that 74.2% of mothers were unaware of breastmilk banking. This showed that breast milk banking is not yet common in Nigeria. Most mothers expressed willingness to donate breast milk, with 67.2% indicating their readiness. This rate is significantly higher than the 11% reported by Gelano et al.25 and the 31.1% reported by Demisse et al.19, both studies conducted in Ethiopia.

Michael Aondoaseer et al.

Table II: Knowledge of mothers about donor breastmilk (n=403)

| Variables | Frequency | Percentage |
|--|-----------|------------|
| Have you heard about donor breast milk | | |
| Yes | 147 | 36.5 |
| No | 256 | 63.5 |
| Source of information on breast milk (n=147) | | |
| Health care worker | 70 | 47.6 |
| Mass media | 21 | 14.3 |
| Friend | 33 | 22.5 |
| Others | 23 | 15.6 |
| Is there a breast milk bank in Nigeria | | |
| Yes | 22 | 5.5 |
| No | 128 | 31.8 |
| I Don't Know | 253 | 62.8 |
| Are you aware of the national policy on infant | | |
| feeding | | |
| Yes | 231 | 57.3 |
| No | 172 | 42.7 |
| Is donor milk more beneficial than infant formula? | | |
| Yes | 222 | 55.1 |
| No | 115 | 28.5 |
| I don't know | 66 | 16.4 |
| Can donor breastmilk be given without disinfection | | |
| Yes | 70 | 17.4 |
| No | 231 | 57.3 |
| I don't know | 102 | 25.3 |
| Disinfection/pasteurization destroys nutrients in | | |
| donor milk | | |
| Yes | 123 | 30.5 |
| No | 113 | 28.0 |
| I don't know | 167 | 41.4 |
| Should donors undergo a medical examination | | |
| Yes | 370 | 91.8 |
| No | 4 | 1.0 |
| I don't know | 29 | 7.2 |
| Donor milk is preferred for preterm infants | | |
| Yes | 240 | 59.6 |
| No | 77 | 19.1 |
| I don't know | 86 | 21.3 |
| Donated breast milk has a shelf life | | |
| Yes | 231 | 57.3 |
| No | 35 | 8.7 |
| I don't know | 137 | 34.0 |

In southeast Nigeria, Iloh *et al.*¹⁸ found that 60% of mothers were willing to donate, and Ighogboja reported a similar percentage (60%) from Jos.¹⁷ Irelosen *et al.*²⁶ also reported 56% willingness in Edo State, Nigeria. This contrasts sharply with an earlier report by Abhulimen-Iyoha *et al.*¹⁶ in the same Edo State, which found that 84.8% of the

mothers were not willing to donate breastmilk. The higher percentage of willing mothers in this study may be attributed to increased awareness through health campaigns. Notably, Yilmaz *et al.*²⁷ reported an even higher rate (79.8%) of mothers in Turkey who were willing to donate their milk. Mothers' willingness to donate was

Knowledge and Attitude of Mothers Towards Donor Breast Milk in Makurdi, Nigeria

based on the motivation that they will be helping another baby, and most of the mothers who agreed to donate did so with spousal consent, which was similar to the report by Iloh *et al.*¹⁸, who reported 80% requiring spousal consent for milk donation.

Table IIIa: Attitude of mothers towards donor breastmilk (n=403)

| Variables | Frequency | Percentage |
|---|-----------|------------|
| Yes | 271 | 67.2 |
| No | 120 | 29.8 |
| I don't know | 12 | 3.0 |
| If yes above, why | | |
| Satisfaction of helping a child in need | 129 | 47.6 |
| Promotion of the health of children | 80 | 29.5 |
| To support other mothers | 55 | 20.3 |
| Others | 7 | 2.6 |
| If no above, why (n=120) | | |
| Fear of not having enough for my baby | 23 | 19.2 |
| I don't like the idea | 68 | 56.7 |
| Against tradition/customs | 2 | 1.7 |
| Spouses/family may not like the idea | 7 | 5.8 |
| Others | 20 | 16.7 |
| Have you ever fed your breastmilk to your | | |
| neighbour/relative's baby | | |
| Yes | 18 | 4.5 |
| No | 385 | 95.5 |
| If yes above, were you screened for infections? | | |
| (n=18) | | |
| Yes | 6 | 33.3 |
| No | 12 | 66.7 |
| Where did the milk donation happen? (n=18) | | |
| Hospital | 5 | 27.8 |
| Home | 13 | 72.2 |
| | | |

This points to men's important role in infant feeding practices, as their consent is required before mothers make certain decisions. While most mothers will accept to donate breast milk without financial inducement, 8.4% required financial inducement for donation, which was lower than the 13% requiring financial remuneration as reported by Iloh et al. 18 from southeast Nigeria. A small percentage of the mothers had donated their breastmilk to other babies without screening for infections such as HIV, and this was mostly done at home. This was similar to the report of Yilmaz et al.²⁷ in Turkey, who reported 5%, but less than the 8.5% reported by Demisse et al.19 This could imply that the culture of wet nursing is acceptable in the society

amongst some people. Most mothers were not willing to accept donor breast milk for their babies because of the risk of transmitting infections, and this was similar to the report of Ogala in Zaria, ²⁸ Abhulimen-Iyoha *et al.* ¹⁶ in Benin, Ighogboja *et al.* ¹⁷ in Jos, and Yilmaz *et al.* ²⁷ in Turkey.

The knowledge about donor breast milk and the willingness to donate breast milk was found to be related to the mother's educational status, and this was similar to the findings by Iloh *et al.*¹⁸ from southeast Nigeria, Demisse *et al.*¹⁹ from Ethiopia, Huang *et al.*²⁰ in China, and Pal *et al.*²¹ in the USA. This shows that maternal education provides a great platform for advocacy, as the

more educated the mothers are, the more they are willing to take health-appropriate decisions such as donation of breast milk. The willingness to donate breast milk was also noticed to be associated with the knowledge about donor breast milk in keeping with the findings by Gelano et al.²⁵ and Iloh et al.¹⁸

Table IIIb: Attitude of mothers towards donor breastmilk (n=403)

| Variables | Frequency | Percentage |
|--|-----------|------------|
| Will you accept donor milk for your baby? | | |
| Yes | 150 | 37.2 |
| No | 239 | 59.3 |
| I don't know | 14 | 3.5 |
| If yes above, why (multiple response) | | |
| Milk not flowing | 81 | 37.5 |
| Mother not around | 33 | 15.3 |
| Mother sick and cannot breastfeed | 62 | 28.7 |
| Baby not gaining weight | 4 | 1.9 |
| Donor milk is safe | 14 | 6.5 |
| Infant formula is expensive | 7 | 3.2 |
| Others | 15 | 6.9 |
| If no above, why (multiple responses) | | |
| Not religiously acceptable | 2 | 0.6 |
| Not culturally acceptable | 2 | 0.6 |
| Risk of transmitting infection | 171 | 53.8 |
| Risk of transmitting bad genetic traits | 22 | 6.9 |
| Societal stigma | 11 | 3.5 |
| Unsure of hygiene | 68 | 21.4 |
| Others | 42 | 13.2 |
| Would you require monetary remuneration to | | |
| donate | | |
| Yes | 34 | 8.4 |
| No | 343 | 85.1 |
| I don't know | 26 | 6.5 |
| Would you require spousal consent before | | |
| donating/accepting? | | |
| Yes | 342 | 84.9 |
| No | 41 | 10.2 |
| I don't know | 20 | 5.0 |

Conclusion

Mothers in Makurdi were found to be aware of breast milk donation for infant feeding, though most mothers were not willing to accept donor breast milk for their babies. The educational status of mothers is a strong determinant of mothers' attitudes toward breast milk donation. Therefore, continual maternal education about infant feeding practices and policies should be prioritized.

Authors' Contributions: MA conceived and designed the study, did literature review and drafted the manuscript. MGA participated in data collection and analysis. OMO revised the manuscript for sound intellectual content. All the authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: None declared.

Financial supports: The authors received no financial supports for the research nor this manuscript. **Accepted for publication:** 27th February 2025.

Table IV: Association between sociodemographic characteristics and knowledge about donor breast milk

| Variables | Have you h | eard of donor | Test statistics | p-value | |
|--------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|--|
| | breast milk | [| | | |
| Age (in years) | Yes | No | | | |
| | n = 147 | n = 256 | | | |
| | n (%) | n (%) | | | |
| ≤20 | 2 (50.0) | 2 (50.0) | Fisher's exact=5.69 | 0.327 | |
| 21-25 | 29 (30.9) | 65 (69.1) | | | |
| 26-30 | 46 (32.6) | 95 (67.4) | | | |
| 31-35 | 47 (43.1) | 62 (56.9) | | | |
| 36-40 | 21 (42.9) | 28 (57.1) | | | |
| >40 | 2 (33.3) | 4 (66.7) | | | |
| Marital status | | | | | |
| Married | 142 (36.0) | 252 (64.0) | $\chi^2 = 1.44$ | 0.229 | |
| Single | 5 (55.6) | 4 (44.4) | | | |
| Parity | | | | | |
| 0 | 19 (32.8) | 39 (67.2) | Fisher's exact=2.54 | 0.466 | |
| 1-2 | 77 (35.6) | 139 (64.4) | | | |
| 3-4 | 45 (42.1) | 62 (57.9) | | | |
| ≥5 | 6 (27.3) | 16 (72.7) | | | |
| Educational status | | | | | |
| Informal education | 3 (23.1) | 10 (76.9) | Fisher's exact=9.87 | 0.036* | |
| Primary | 3 (42.9) | 4 (57.1) | | | |
| Secondary | 33 (26.6) | 91 (73.4) | | | |
| Tertiary | 103 (41.4) | 146 (58.6) | | | |
| Others | 5 (50.0) | 5 (50.0) | | | |
| Religion | , | , | | | |
| Christianity | 141 (35.7) | 254 (64.3) | $\chi^2 = 5.22$ | 0.022* | |
| Islam | 6 (75.0) | 2 (25.0) | K | | |
| Occupation | , , | | | | |
| Civil Servant | 29 (51.8) | 27 (48.2) | Fisher's | 0.032* | |
| | - (| () | exact=10.41 | | |
| Farming | 19 (33.9) | 37 (66.1) | | | |
| Trading | 49 (40.2) | 72 (59.5) | | | |
| Artisan | 2 (20.0) | 8 (80.0) | | | |
| Others | 48 (30.0) | 112 (70.0) | | | |
| | 10 (50.0) | 112 (70.0) | | | |

Percentages were calculated across rows.

References

- World Health Organization. Breastfeeding. https://www.who.int/ Accessed August 8th, 2022.
- UNICEF, WHO. Capture the Moment- Early initiation of breastfeeding: The best start for every newborn. New York: UNICEF; 2018 https://www.unicef.org Accessed August 8th, 2022.
- 3. Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJD, França VA, Horton S, Krasevec J, *et al*. Breastfeeding in

- the 21st century: epidemiology mechanisms and lifelong effect. *Lancet* 2016; 387:475-90.
- Donovan SM, German JB, Lönnerdal B, Lucas A. Human Milk: Composition, Clinical Benefits and Future Opportunities. Nestlé Nutr Inst Workshop Ser. 2019; 90:1– 12. https://doi.org/10.1159/000490290
- Smith ER, Locks LM, Manji KP, McDonald CM, Kupka R, Kisenge R, et al. Delayed Breastfeeding Initiation Is Associated with Infant Morbidity. J Pediatr. 2017; 191:57-

62.e2.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.08.069

- Meek JY, Noble L. Section on Breastfeeding. Policy Statement: Breastfeeding and the Use of Human Milk. *Pediatrics*.2022;150(1): e2022057988.
 - https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2022-057988
- World Health Organization. Guidelines: Protecting, promoting, and supporting breastfeeding in facilities providing maternal and newborn services, WHO Geneva 2017. https://www.who.int Accessed August 8th, 2022
- World Health Organization, United Nations Children's Fund. Global strategy for infant and young child feeding. WHO Geneva 2003. https://www.who.int Accessed August 8th, 2022
- Smith ER, Hurt L, Chowdhury R, Sinha B, Fawzi W, Edmond KM. Delayed breastfeeding initiation and infant survival: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(7): e0180722. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180722
- National Bureau of Statistics and United Nations Children's Fund. Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey 2021, Statistical snapshot report. 2022. Abuja Nigeria. NBS, UNICEF https://www.unicef.org Accessed August 17th, 2022
- 11. Rollins NC, Bhandari N, Hajeebhoy N, Horton S, Lutter CK, Martines JC, *et al.* Breastfeeding 2. Why invest, and what it will take to improve breastfeeding practices? *Lancet* 2016; 387: 491–504.
- 12. Bertino E, Guiliani F, Baricco M, Di Nicola P, Peila C, Vassia C *et al.* Benefits of donor breastmilk in the feeding of preterm infants. *Early Hum Dev.* 2013;89(2):s3-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2013.07.008
- 13. Parker MG, Stellwagen LM, Noble L, Kim JH, Poindexter BB, Puopolo KM. Section on breastfeeding, committee on nutrition, committee on fetus and newborn. Promoting human milk and breastfeeding for the very low birth weight infant. *Pediatrics*.

- 2021;148(5):e2021054272. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2021-054272
- Edmond K, Bahl R. Optimal feeding of low-birth-weight infants: technical review. World Health Organization. Geneva. 2006. https://www.who.int Accessed August 8th, 2022
- Federal Ministry of Health. National Policy on Infant and Young Child Feeding in Nigeria. FMOH. Abuja 2010. https://www.iycn.org accessed August 17th, 2022.
- 16. Abhulimhen-Iyoha B, Okonkwo IR, Ideh RC, Okolo AA. Mothers' perception of the use of banked human milk for feeding the infants. *Niger J Paediatr*. 2015;42(3):223–7. https://doi.org/10.4314/njp.v42i3.10
- 17. Ighogboja IS, Olarewaju RS, Odumodu CU, Okuonghae HO. Mothers' Attitudes Towards Donated Breastmilk in Jos, Nigeria. *J Hum Lact*. 1995;11:93–6.
- Iloh KK, Osuorah CDI, Ndu IK, Asinobi IN, Obumneme-Anyim IN, Ezeudu CE, et al.
 Perception of donor breast milk and determinants of its acceptability among mothers in a developing community: A cross-sectional multi-center study in southeast Nigeria. Int Breastfeed J. 2018;13:47. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13006-018-0189-2
- 19. Demisse H, Ameya G, Alemayehu T, Estifanos N. Knowledge and attitudes towards breast milk donation and associated factors among pregnant mothers attending antenatal care at health centers in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Research Square 2022; Preprint version:1-15. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1921575/v1
- 20. Huang C, Han W, Fan Y. Knowledge and attitude on donation of breast milk in hospitalized mothers. *Gac Sanit*. 2021;35:213–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2019.09.013
- 21. Pal A, Soontarapornchai K, Noble L, Hand I. Attitudes towards Donor Breast Milk in an Inner-City Population. *Int J Pediatr*. 2019;2019:1-4. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3847283
- 22. Ogundele T, Ogundele O, Bello E. Knowledge and Perception of Mothers

Knowledge and Attitude of Mothers Towards Donor Breast Milk in Makurdi, Nigeria

toward Donor Milk and Human Milk Banking: Experience from Two Centers in Southwest, Nigeria. Niger J Gen Pract 2022;20(1):1-5. https://doi.org/10.4103/njgp.njgp_18_21

Table V: Association between knowledge and attitude towards donor breast milk

| Variables | I have heard of donor breast milk | | Test statistics | p-value |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------|------------------|---------|
| | Yes | No | | |
| | n = 147 | n = 256 | | |
| | n (%) | n (%) | | |
| Will you donate your milk? | | | Fisher's Exact = | 0.015 |
| | | | 8.38 | |
| Yes | 112 (41.3) | 159 (58.7) | | |
| No | 32 (26.7) | 88 (73.3) | | |
| I don't know | 3 (25.0) | 9 (75.0) | | |
| Have you ever fed your breastmilk to your | | | $\chi^2 = 2.96$ | 0.085 |
| neighbour/relative's baby? | | | | |
| Yes | 10 (55.6) | 8 (44.4) | | |
| No | 137 (35.6) | 248 (64.4) | | |
| Will you accept donor milk for your baby? | | | Fisher's Exact = | 0.052 |
| | | | 5.90 | |
| Yes | 64 (42.7) | 86 (57.3) | | |
| No | 76 (31.8) | 163 (68.2) | | |
| I don't know | 7 (50.0) | 7 (50.0) | | |
| Would you require monetary remuneration to donate | | | Fisher's Exact = | 0.596 |
| | | | 1.11 | |
| Yes | 15 (44.1) | 19 (55.9) | | |
| No | 122 (35.6) | 221 (64.4) | | |
| I don't know | 10 (38.5) | 16 (61.5) | | |
| Would you require spousal consent before donating or | | | Fisher's Exact = | 0.795 |
| receiving donor milk? | | | 0.46 | |
| Yes | 125 (36.5) | 217 (63.5) | | |
| No | 16 (39.0) | 25 (61.0) | | |
| I don't know | 6 (30.0) | 14 (70.0) | | |

Percentages were calculated across rows.

- 23. Sheela J, Shasikala V. Knowledge and Attitude of Postnatal Mothers on Human Milk Banking. *Int J Sci Healthcare Res*.2020;5(4):135-41.
- 24. Mathias EG, Patil DS, Kolakemar A, Krishnan JB, Renjith V, Gudi N, et al. Barriers and Facilitators for the Donation and Acceptance of Human Breast milk: A Scoping Review. Curr Nutr Rep. 2023;12(4):617-634. https://doi.lorg/10.1007/s13668-023-00506-
- 25. Gelano TF, Bacha YD, Assefa N, Motumma A, Roba AA, Ayele Y, *et al.* Acceptability of donor breast milk banking, its use for feeding

- infants, and associated factors among mothers in eastern Ethiopia. *Int Breastfeed J.* 2018;13:23. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13006-018-0163-z
- 26. Akhimienho KI, Uwaibi N. Perception of Donor Breast Milk and Determinants of Its Acceptability amongst Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Clinic in a Secondary Facility in South-South Nigeria. *Int J Multidisc Res Analysis* 2022;30(63):31-5. https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmra/v5-i8-43
- 27. Yılmaz M, Aykut M, Şahin H, Ongan D, Balcı E, Gün İ, et al. Knowledge, attitude, and practices about wet nursing and human milk banking in Kayseri, Turkey. Erciyes

Michael Aondoaseer et al.

Med J. 2018;40(4):204–9. https://doi.org/10.5152/etd.2018.18080 28. Ogala WN. Attitudes of nursing mothers to breast milk banking. *Niger J Paediatr* 1987;14(3&4):97-101.

Table VI: Association between sociodemographic characteristics and willingness to donate

| Variables | Will you don | ate breast | Test statistics | p-value |
|--------------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------|---------|
| | milk | | | |
| | Yes | No | | |
| | n = 271 | n = 132 | | |
| | n (%) | n (%) | | |
| Age (in years) | | | Fisher's Exact = 8.05 | 0.135 |
| ≤20 | 2 (50.0) | 2 (50.0) | | |
| 21-25 | 59 (62.8) | 35 (37.2) | | |
| 26-30 | 89 (63.1) | 52 (36.9) | | |
| 31-35 | 83 (76.1) | 26 (23.9) | | |
| 36-40 | 35 (71.4) | 14 (28.6) | | |
| >40 | 3 (50.0) | 3 (50.0) | | |
| Marital status | | | $\chi^2 = 0.46$ | 0.496 |
| Married | 264 (67.4) | 130 (32.6) | | |
| Single | 7 (77.8) | 2 (22.2) | | |
| Parity | | | Fisher's Exact = 1.75 | 0.634 |
| 0 | 36 (62.1) | 22 (37.9) | | |
| 1-2 | 147 (68.1) | 69 (31.9) | | |
| 3-4 | 71 (66.4) | 36 (33.6) | | |
| ≥5 | 17 (77.3) | 5 (22.7) | | |
| Educational status | | | Fisher's Exact = 9.08 | 0.050 |
| Informal education | 9 (69.2) | 4 (30.8) | | |
| Primary | 5 (71.4) | 2 (28.6) | | |
| Secondary | 72 (58.1) | 52 (41.9) | | |
| Tertiary | 180 (72.3) | 69 (27.7) | | |
| Others | 5 (50.0) | 5 (50.0) | | |
| Religion | | | $\chi^2 = 1.52$ | 0.218 |
| Christianity | 264 (66.8) | 131 (33.2) | | |
| Islam | 7 (87.5) | 1 (12.5) | | |
| Occupation | | | Fisher's Exact = 3.03 | 0.554 |
| Civil Servant | 38 (67.9) | 18 (32.1) | | |
| Farming | 32 (56.9) | 24 (42.9) | | |
| Trading | 84 (69.4) | 37 (30.6) | | |
| Artisan | 7 (70.0) | 3 (30.0) | | |
| Others | 110 (68.8) | 50 (31.2) | | |

Percentages were calculated across rows.