

REVIEW ARTICLE

Adverse Drug Reactions in Children: Types, Incidence, and Risk Factors

KA Oshikoya*

Summary

Oshikoya KA. Adverse Drug Reactions in Children: Types, Incidence, and Risk Factors. *Nigerian Journal of Paediatrics* 2006; 33: 29.

Background: Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) are common in children but there appears to be a lack of understanding of the condition by some physicians.

Objectives: To alert paediatric physicians to the existence and occurrence of ADRs by classifying them, reporting their incidences all over the world, and identifying their risk factors in children.

Methods: A MEDLINE search, using *Index Medicus* and *PubMed*, for recently published systematic reviews, meta-analysis studies and original researches on ADRs in adults and children was carried out. The search involved both inpatients that developed ADRs while on admission and those admitted as a result of ADRs. Abstracts from all searches were read to determine their relevance, and in most cases, the original article was sourced to provide further information.

Results: The search yielded many relevant articles containing reviews, systematic and meta-analysis studies, original researches on in-patients who developed ADRs and many who were admitted for ADRs.

Conclusion: ADRs are global problems affecting children in both developing and developed countries. A higher level of clinical suspicion and vigilance, good knowledge of the predisposing factors, and proper monitoring of at-risk drugs in patients at-risk, may help prevent many ADRs, thus reducing its global incidence.

Introduction

ADVERSE drug reactions (ADRs) constitute a global problem of major and important concern in health care.¹⁻³ They confront primary care physicians on daily basis.⁴ They are defined in various ways, but according to the World Health Organization (WHO), ADR is defined as any response to a drug that is noxious and unintended which occurs at doses normally used in man for the prophylaxis, diagnosis or therapy of disease or for modification of physiological function.^{5,6} Thus, this definition excludes adverse events caused by errors in drug administration or non-compliance and tends to avoid overestimating the ADR rate. Drugs involved in ADRs include prescription, non-prescription, biological and herbal

drug products. ADRs rank as one of the leading causes of death and illnesses in the developed world;⁷ however, there is paucity of information about its incidence in developing countries, especially those in Africa. It is probable that so many adverse drug reactions go unrecognised and unreported. Indeed it has been estimated that about 95 percent of ADRs go unreported worldwide.^{8,9} The problem with under-reporting is that physicians may not recognise when drugs are probably the culprits in adverse outcomes and ADRs are often interpreted as further symptoms of illnesses, which require treatment with more drugs.

A wide range of drugs has been reported as being involved in ADRs in children. These include antibiotics¹⁰⁻¹² (the most commonly prescribed determines the prevalence of the ADRs seen); non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs),^{12,13} opiates,¹⁴ glucocorticoids, tuberculostatics, immunosuppressive agents,¹¹ anticonvulsants and vaccines.^{15,16} The use of drugs in children is of considerable public interest, yet there is limited published information available. This review is therefore aimed at reviewing the available literature

Lagos State University College of
Medicine, Ikeja, Lagos

Department of Pharmacology and
Therapeutics

*Lecturer II

Correspondence: Dr. K A Oshikoya.
E-mail: med_modhospital@yahoo.com

on adverse drug reactions in children with the main objective of alerting paediatric health care providers of the not-so-rare events of ADRs which constitute a major problem of drug therapy.

Classifications

Adverse drug reactions, otherwise regarded as drug toxic effects, are classified according to the predictability of the observed reactions.¹⁷ This classification was proposed in 1977 by Rawlins and Thompson as type A and type B.¹⁸ Both types constitute major categories of ADRs and although reported ADRs include both types in most instances, a majority of reactions are of type A. Three further minor categories of ADRs have since been proposed, namely types C, D, and E.¹⁹

1. **Type A reactions:**^{17,19-21} These constitute the great majority of ADRs, are usually the consequences of a drug's main pharmacological effect, are low therapeutic index and are therefore predictable. They are dose related and usually mild, although they may be serious or even fatal. Usually they may be due to incorrect dosage (too much or for too long) for the individual patient, drug-drug interactions (disordered pharmacokinetics), side effects (nephrotoxicity of aminoglycosides) or secondary effects (changes in gut flora with the use of most antibiotics).

2. **Type B reactions:** These are unpredictable, dose independent, rare but associated with severe effects with a considerable mortality. They are further classified into allergic (immune mediated effects in the sensitized patient) and non-allergic (idiosyncratic or psychogenic) reactions.^{19,22}

(a) **Allergic adverse drug reactions:** The term 'drug allergy', 'drug hypersensitivity', and 'drug reaction' are often used interchangeably. Immune mechanisms are involved in a number of adverse effects caused by drugs. The development of allergy implies previous exposure to the drug or to some closely related substances. Most drugs are of low molecular weight (< 1,000 daltons) and thus, are not antigenic. However, they can combine with substances of high molecular weight, usually proteins, to form an antigenic hapten conjugate. Drugs cause a variety of allergic responses, and sometimes a single drug can be responsible for more than one type of allergic response. Immune mediated reactions account for five to 10 percent of all drug reactions and constitute true drug hypersensitivity, with IgE-mediated drug allergies falling into this category.^{20,21} Allergic ADRs are classified by Gell and Coombs²² as:

Type I (anaphylaxis) reactions: These are due to the production of reaginic (IgE) antibodies. The antigen-antibody reaction on the surface of mast cells causes degranulation and release of pharmacologically

active substances. They can manifest as urticaria, angioedema, inflammatory pruritus, vomiting, diarrhoea, and anaphylaxis.

Type II (cytotoxic) reactions: These are due to antibodies of class IgE and IgM which, on contact with antigens on the surface of cells, are able to fix complement, causing cell lysis (e.g. penicillin or cephalosporins).¹⁹

Type III (immune complex or Arthus) reactions: Circulating immune complexes produced by drug and antibody to drug deposit in organs, causing drug fever, rash, lymphadenopathy, and glomerulonephritis.

Type IV (delayed, cell mediated) reactions: They are due to drug forming an antigenic conjugate with dermal proteins and sensitized T-cells reacting to drug causing a rash (e.g. topical antibiotics).¹⁹

(b) **Non-allergic reactions.**²²

Pseudo allergies: They result from direct mast cell activation and degranulation by drugs such as opiates, vancomycin, and radio-contrast media.

Idiosyncrasies: These reactions may be clinically indistinguishable from type I allergic reactions, but do not involve drug-specific IgE. They are qualitatively aberrant reactions that cannot be explained by the known pharmacologic action of the drug and occur only in a small percentage of the population. Typical example is drug induced haemolysis in glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency patients.

Drug intolerance: This is a lower threshold to the normal pharmacological action of a drug, such as tinnitus after a single average dose of aspirin.

3. **Type C reactions:** These are continuous reactions due to long-term drug use (e.g. neuroleptic-related tardive dyskinesia or analgesic nephropathy).

4. **Type D reactions:** Delayed reactions of carcinogenesis or teratogenesis (e.g. alkylating agents, leading to carcinogenesis).

5. **Type E reactions:** End of use reactions such as adrenocortical insufficiency following withdrawal of corticosteroids, or withdrawal syndromes following discontinuation of treatment with diazepam, tricyclic antidepressants, or β -adrenoceptor antagonists.

Epidemiology

In the United States alone, approximately 26,500 children die every year from adverse drug reactions.⁷ It is estimated that fatalities due to ADRs are the fourth to sixth leading cause of death in American hospitals. In Africa, information about incidence of ADRs is scanty. Reported cases were on specific drugs, such as ivermectin used in treating Onchocerciasis^{23,24}, thiacetazone used in treating tuberculosis in HIV infected children²⁵, and cotrimoxazole used in treating both HIV and non-HIV infected patients.²⁶

ADRs have been reported to occur frequently in children but not as frequently as in adults¹¹. Lack of information about incidence of ADRs in Africa may probably be as a result of under-reporting. The actual reported incidence of ADRs varies according to the population described and the case definition used,^{7,27} the method used, the vigour with which ADRs are sought, as well as the number of concomitantly administered drugs to produce drug interactions.^{7,28} Most reported incidences were from meta-analysis of prospective studies. A meta-analysis study in the United Kingdom reported ADRs incidence among hospitalised children from 4.37 percent to 16.78 percent with an estimated mean of 9.53 percent.²⁹ This study also reported incidence in paediatric hospital admissions related to ADRs from 0.54 percent to 4.1 percent, with a weighted mean of 2.09 percent. The incidence of ADRs in hospitalised patients ranges from 15 percent to 30 percent.³⁰⁻³⁴ Between 11 percent and 30 percent of neonates in intensive care in a United Kingdom hospital were known to suffer at least an ADR.³⁴ Other prospective studies on ADRs in paediatric patients have reported incidence between 4.37 percent and 16.78 percent.^{35,36} Also an incidence rate of 21.5 percent has been reported amongst children in Germany,¹¹ 15 percent to 27 percent, including 6 percent of life threatening ADRs in the United States and Canada,³⁷ 9.9 percent in Iran³⁸; and 0.2 percent to 4 percent in Britain.²⁹ Between 3.75 percent to 16.6 percent paediatric hospitalisation resulted in ADRs, 27.9 percent of these reactions were severe.^{39,40} Globally, incidence of ADRs is ≥ 10 percent meaning ADRs are common.⁴¹ They contribute significantly to patients morbidity and mortality, and are a significant public health concern.^{42,43}

Risk factors

1. Age: Infants and very young children are at high risk of developing adverse drug reactions than adults because their capacity to metabolise drugs is not fully developed.⁴⁴ For example, newborns cannot metabolise and eliminate the antibiotic chloramphenicol; newborns who are given the drug may develop gray baby syndrome; a serious and often fatal reaction. If tetracycline, another antibiotic, is given to infants and young children during the period when their teeth are being formed (up to about age 8 years), it may permanently discolour tooth enamel. Amongst children, it has been hypothesized and equally reported by Kramer *et al*⁴⁵ that patient 1 year of age or younger⁴⁶ are at greater risk of developing ADRs. However, Fattahi *et al*,³⁸ Impicciatore *et al*,²⁹ Martinez-Mir *et al*,^{40,47} Cirko-Begovic *et al*⁴⁸ and Mjorndal *et al*⁴⁹ have shown that there was no

particular age predisposition but contrarily, Kidon *et al*²⁰ reported increase in the risk of ADRs with age.

2. Gender: Like the age above, there is no particularly well established relationship between the risk of ADRs and sex of a child. Fattahi *et al*,³⁸ Mjorndal *et al*,⁴⁹ and Morales-Olivas *et al*⁵⁰ have reported no difference between genders in developing an ADR. Contrarily, other workers have shown female^{40,47,51} and male^{20,52,53} preponderances respectively.

3. Disease interactions:⁵⁴ Drug-disease interaction refers to the worsening of a disease by a drug. Most drugs exert most of their effects on a specific organ or systems; however, because most drugs circulate throughout the body, they may also affect other organs and systems. A drug taken for a lung disease may affect the heart, and a drug taken to treat a cold may affect the eyes. Because drugs can affect diseases other than the one being treated, parents or care givers should inform their children's doctor all the diseases the children have before the doctor prescribes a new drug. Drug-disease interactions can occur at any age but are more common among older people who tend to have more diseases.

4. Lack of clinical trial studies in children: More than 75 percent of pharmaceuticals licensed in developed countries have never been tested in paediatric populations and are used without adequate guidelines for safety or efficacy.⁵⁵ Until recently, it was assumed that children reacted to medications as 'small adults'. It is now understood that a host of biological development and behavioural factors impact on the safety and effectiveness of pharmaceuticals when used in paediatric patients. Anatomical differences in body proportions and age-related differences and body composition distinguish newborns, infants, and children from adults. In addition, children often cannot verbally express their own drug therapy experiences. As a result, newborns, infants and children who require medication for acute, chronic and life saving treatments are at risk of ADRs ranging from ineffective treatment and minor ADRs to severe morbidity and death.^{55,56}

5. Genetic factors: These are important contributors to the incidence and severity of ADRs.⁵⁵⁻⁶⁴ Indeed, genetic factors contribute to an estimated 50 percent of ADRs⁶⁰ and account for 20-95 percent of drug response variability.⁶⁵ The knowledge that specific agent mutations can cause ADRs is not new. Forty years ago, it was known that impaired hydrolysis of the muscle relaxant succinylcholine to butyrylcholinesterase was inherited. More than half of the drugs causing ADRs are metabolised by at least, one enzyme with a variant allele known to cause poor metabolism (ie cytochrome P450 enzyme).⁶⁷ In addition, there are now numerous examples of other

classes of genes that have variants that occur with moderate-to-high frequency and are believed to influence the response to drugs, such as drug transporters (i.e. ATP-binding cassette family of proteins) or drug targets (i.e. α_2 -adrenoceptor and arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase) and their associated pathways. There are many additional precedents for genetic determinant of ADRs⁶⁸ and these pharmacogenic mutations can be single repeats, gene deletions and duplications. Recurring themes include common variants or a larger number of rare alleles which often show striking differences in ethnic groups. These findings are important not only because of the specific variants that have been identified, but also because they suggest that it is possible that there are many variants which may occur and about which little is as yet known. Unlike other functions influencing drug response, inherited determinants remain stable throughout a person's life time.

6. Environmental factors: Little is known about the role of environmental influence in the development of ADRs. In the Chinese population, besides genetic differences, environmental factors such as concomitant use of traditional Chinese medicine and allopathic medication may influence the perceived incidence of ADRs.⁶⁹⁻⁷¹ Little is known about the role of herbal medication in the development of ADRs. However, it has recently been reported in the United Kingdom (UK) that some herbal medicines produced in Africa and marketed in the UK, are liable to produce ADRs.⁷² Since the use of herbal medicine is prevalent in Africa, it is not impossible that its concomitant use is contributory to the risk of developing ADRs as hypothesized in the Chinese.

7. Multiple concomitant medication exposure: There is a significant association between the numbers of medications received by children and the risk of ADRs. The higher the number of drugs consumed the higher the prevalence of ADRs.³⁸ It also has been noted that patients with an ADR were taking significantly more medications than were patients without an ADR.^{38,73,74} Polypharmacy have been shown to be an important factor that predisposes patients to ADRs³⁸ and is similarly found in the adult patients.⁵¹

8. Pre-existing diseases: Presence of chronic disease,²⁰ malignancy,^{20,75,76} immunodeficiency,^{20,75,77-80} and severe viral infections^{20,80} have been reported to independently increase the risk of developing ADRs in children. Any chronic illness is a major risk factor for ADRs, which is probably, but not solely, due to increased use of medication and polypharmacy.^{20,29} Atopic disease is not generally considered a risk factor for the development of ADRs. Atopic patients do

not have a higher rate of sensitization to drugs; they are at increased risk for serious allergic reactions.⁸¹ However, asthma, a chronic atopic disease, appears to be a risk factor for a severe ADRs^{19,78} to any medication and a significant reactions to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.^{20,82} Severe ADRs seen in asthmatics may reflect increased exposure to medication that have occurred in children with a chronic illness.

9. Previous Adverse Drug Reactions: History of previous adverse drug reactions⁸³ is a risk factor for developing ADRs.

10. Others: Duration of hospital stay,^{11,83} increase in the dose of drugs by parents or prescribers,⁴⁶ use of drugs not licensed for use in children (unlicensed) or those drugs prescribed outside the terms of the product licensed (off-label)^{36,84} are other factors that can influence the occurrence of ADRs in children. Prolonged hospital stay has been reported to increase the incidence of ADRs in children in Germany.¹¹ Twenty five to forty six percent of drug prescriptions in the U.K are either unlicensed or off-label,^{85,86} safety data on these drugs are unavailable. Twenty five percent of drugs used as off-label and unlicensed medicines are the causes of spontaneously reported ADRs in children in the Trent region (U.K).¹⁶

Conclusion

Adverse drug reactions are global problems affecting children in both developing and developed countries. A higher level of clinical suspicion and vigilance, good knowledge of the predisposing factors, and proper monitoring of at-risk drugs in at-risk patients may help prevent ADRs, thus reducing its global incidence.

References

1. Pirmohamed M, Park BK. Adverse drug reactions: back to the future. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2003; 55: 486-92.
2. Pirmohamed M, Breckenridge AM, Kitternigham NR, Park BK. Adverse drug reactions. *Br Med J.* 1998, 316: 1295-8.
3. Dorman H, Muth-Selbach U, Krebs S, et al. Incidence and costs of adverse drug reactions during hospitalization: computerized monitoring versus stimulated spontaneous reporting. *Drug Saf.* 2000; 22: 161-8.
4. Rieder M. Adverse drug reactions. The Canadian Journal of CME. 2002; 83-91.
5. International drug monitoring. The role of the hospital. *World Health Organization. Tech Rep Ser.* 1969; 425: 5-24.
6. Edwards IR, Aronson JK. Adverse drug reactions: definitions, diagnosis, and management. *Lancet.* 2000; 356:1255-9.

7. Lazarou J, Pomeranz BH, Corey PN. Incidence of adverse reactions in hospitalized patients: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *JAMA* 1998; 279:1200-5.
8. Institute of Medicine (US). To err is human: Building a Safer health system. Kohn LT, Corrigan JM, Donaldson MS, eds. Washington: The Institute; 2000.
9. Fletcher AP. Spontaneous adverse drug reporting vs event monitoring: a comparison. *J R Soc Med* 1991; 84: 341-4.
10. Jonville-Bera AP, Girandeau B, Blanc P, Beau-Salinas F, Autret-Leca E. Frequency of adverse drug reactions in children: a prospective study. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2002;53: 207-10.
11. Weiss J, Krebs S, Hoffmann C, Werner U, Neubert A, Brune K, Rasher W. Survey of adverse drug reactions on paediatric ward: a strategy for early detailed detection. *Paediatrics* 2002; 110: 254-7.
12. Pirmohamed M. Anticipating, investigating and managing the adverse effects of drugs. *Clin Med* 2005; 5: 23-5.
13. Feely J, Barry M. Adverse drug interactions. *Clin Med* 2005; 5:19-22.
14. Gill A. Adverse drug reactions-The Alder Hey Experience. Priority Lodge Education Ltd. 1996.
15. Choonara A. Anticonvulsant toxicity in paediatric out-patients. *Br J Clin Pract* 1988; 42: 21-3.
16. Clarkson A, Ingleby E, Choonara I, Bryan P, Arlett P. A novel scheme for the reporting of adverse drug reactions. *Arch Dis Child*. 2001; 84:337-9.
17. Ditto AM. Drug allergy. In: Grammer LC, Greenberger PA, eds. *Patterson's Allergic Diseases*. Philadelphia: Lippincot. Williams and Wilkins, 2002: 295-385.
18. Rawlins MD, Thompson JW. Mechanisms of adverse drug reactions. In: Davies DM. Ed. *Textbook of adverse drug reactions*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1991: 18-45.
19. Ritter JM, Lewis LD, Mant TGK. Adverse drug reactions. In: James MR et al eds. *A textbook of clinical pharmacology*. London: Oxford University Press Inc., New York. 1999:84-107.
20. Kidon MI, See Y. Adverse drug reactions in Singaporean Children. *Singapore Med J*2004; 45: 574-7.
21. Executive Summary of disease management of drug hypersensitivity: practice parameters, the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology. Joint Council of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*.1999; 83: 665-700.
22. Reidl MA, Casillas AM. Adverse drug reactions: types and treatment options. *Am Fam Physician*.2003; 68:1781-90.
23. Oyibo WA, Fagbenro-Beyioku AF. Adverse reactions following annual ivermectin treatment of onchocerciasis in Nigeria. *Int J Infec Dis* 2003; 7: 156-9.
24. Kipp W, Bamhuhiga J, Rubaale T, Buttner DW. Adverse reactions to ivermectin treatment in Simulium neavei-transmitted onchocerciasis. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2003; 69: 621-3.
25. Chintu C, Luo C, Bhat G, Raviglione M, DuPont H, Zumla A. Cutaneous hypersensitivity reactions due to thiacetazone in the treatment of tuberculosis in Zambian children infected with HIV-1. *Arch Dis Child* 1993; 68: 665-8.
26. Pitche P, Pandonou CS, Kombate K, Mouzou T, Tchangai-Walla K. Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis in Lome (Togo). Evolutional and aetiological profiles of 40 cases. *Ann Dermatol Venerol* 2005; 132: 531-4.
27. Pouyanne P, Haramburu F, Imbs JL, Begaud B. Admissions to hospital caused by adverse drug reactions: cross-sectional incidence study. French Pharmacovigilance Centres. *Br Med J*.2000; 320:1036.
28. Hallas J, Harvard B, Gram LF, et al. Drug related hospital admissions: the role of definitions and intensity of data collection and possibility of prevention. *J Intern Med*1990; 228: 83-90.
29. Impicciatore P, Choonara I, Clarkson A, Provasi D, Pandolfini C, Bonati M. Incidence of adverse drug reactions in paediatric in/out-patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2001; 52: 77-83.
30. Bates DW, Cullen DJ, Laird N, et al. Incidence of adverse drug events and potential adverse drug events. Implications for prevention. ADE Prevention Study Group. *JAMA* 1995; 274: 29-34.
31. Brennan TA, Leape LL, Laird NM, et al. Incidence of adverse events and negligence in hospitalized patients. Results of the Harvard Medical Practice Study 1. *N Eng J Med* 1991;324: 370-6.
32. Classen DC, Pestotnik SL, Evans RS, Burke JP. Computerized surveillance of adverse drug events in hospital patients [Published erratum appears in *JAMA* 1991; 266: 2847-51. [Erratum in: *JAMA* 1992; 267:1992]
33. Levy M, Azaz-Livshits T, Sadan B, Shalit M, Geisslinger G, Brune K. Computerized surveillance of adverse drug reactions in

- hospital implementation. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1999; 54: 887-92.
34. Bonati M, Marchetti F, Zullini MT, Pistotti V, Tognoni G. Adverse drug reactions in neonatal intensive care units. *Adverse Drug React Poisoning Rev* 1990; 9:103-118.
 35. Easton KL, Parsons BJ, Starr M, Brien JE. The incidence of drug related problems as a cause of hospital admissions in children. *Med J Aust* 1998; 169: 356-9.
 36. Evans RS, Pestotnik SL, Classen DC, et al. Development of a computerized adverse drug event monitor. *Proc Annu Symp Comput Appl Med Care* 1991; 15: 23-7.
 37. Jha AK, Kuperman GJ, Teich M, et al. Identifying adverse drug events: development of a computer-based monitor and comparison with chart review and simulated voluntary report. *Am Med Inform Assoc* 1998; 5:305-14.
 38. Fattahi F, Pourpark Z, Moin M, Kazemnejad A, Khotaei GT, Mamishi S, Siadati A, Tabatabaei P. Adverse drug reactions in hospitalized children in a development of infectious disease. *J Clin Pharmacol* 2005; 45:1313-8.
 39. Gonzalez-Martin G, Caroca CM, Paris E. Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) in hospitalized paediatric patients. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1998; 36: 530-3.
 40. Martinez-Mir I, Garcia-Lopez M, Palop V, Ferrer JM, Rubio E, Morales-Olivas FJ. A prospective study of adverse drug reactions in hospitalized children. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 1999; 47: 681-8.
 41. Frequency of adverse drug reactions. Guideline for preparing core clinical safety information on drugs. Reported from CIOMS working group III, Geneva, 1995. Available from: <http://www.who.unc.org/defs.html>.
 42. Ramesh M, Pandit J, Parthasarathi G. Adverse drug reactions in a South Indian hospital- their severity and cost involved. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2003; 12: 687-92.
 43. Backstrom M, Mjorndal T, Dahlqvist R. Under-reporting of serious adverse drug reactions in Sweden. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2004; 13: 483-7.
 44. The Merck Manuals. Adverse drug reactions. Risk factors. Home edition 2003.
 45. Krammer MS, Hutchinson TA, Flegel KM, Naimark L, Contardi R, Leduc DG. Adverse drug reactions in general paediatric out-patients. *J Pediatr* 1985; 106:305-10.
 46. Knight M. Adverse drug reactions in neonates. *J Clin Pharmacol* 1994; 34: 128-35.
 47. Martinez-Mir I, Gracia-Lopez M, Palop V, et al. A prospective study of adverse drug reactions as a cause of admission to a paediatric hospital. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 1996; 42: 319-24.
 48. Cirko-Begovic A, Vrhovac B, Bakran I. Intensive monitoring of adverse drug reactions in infants and preschool children. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1989; 36: 63-65.
 49. Backstrom M, Hagg S, Dahlqvist R, Mjornal T. Utilization pattern of metamizole in Northern Sweden. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2002; 11: 65-72.
 50. Morales-Olivas FJ, Martinez-Mir I, Ferrer JM, Rubio E, Palop V. Adverse reactions in children reported by means of the yellow card in Spain. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2002; 53:1076-80.
 51. Fattinger K, Roos M, Vergeres P, et al. Epidemiology of drug exposure adverse drug reactions in two Swiss departments of Internal Medicine. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2000; 49: 158-67.
 52. Bigby M, Jick H, Amdt K. Drug-induced cutaneous reactions. A report from the Boston Collaborative Drug Surveillance Program on 15,438 consecutive in-patients, 1975 to 1982. *JAMA* 1986; 256: 3358-63.
 53. Ibia EO, Schwartz RH, Weidemann BL. Antibiotic rashes in children: a survey in a private practice setting. *Arch Dermatol* 2000; 136: 849-54.
 54. The Merck Manuals. Adverse Drug Reactions. Drug-disease Interactions. Home edition, 2003.
 55. Leeder JS. Developmental and paediatric pharmacogenomics. *Pharmacogenomics* 2003, 4: 331-41.
 56. Impicciatore M. Pharmacogenomic can give children safer medicines. *Arch Dis Child* 2003; 88: 366.
 57. Jaja C. Fortelling our pharmacogenetic future. *Nat Biotechnol* 2003; 21: 467-8.
 58. Jaja C. Pharmacogenomics: Social, ethical, and clinical dimensions. New York: John Wiley and sons Ltd; 2003.
 59. Kling J. USFDA Contemplates collection of pharmacogenomic data. *Nat Biotechnol* 2003; 21:590.
 60. Heath KE, Gudnason V, Humpheries SE, Seed M. The type of mutation in the low density lipoprotein receptor gene influences the cholesterol-lowering response of the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor Simvastatin in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia. *Artherosclerosis* 1999; 143: 41-54.
 61. Couture P, Brun LD, Szots F, et al. Association of specific LDL receptor gene mutations with differential plasma lipoprotein response to Simvastatin in young fresh Canadians with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia.

- Artheroscler Throm Vasc Biol* 1998; 18:1007-12
62. Sijbrands EJ, Lombardi MP, Westendorp RG, et al. Similar response to Simvastatin in patients heterozygous for familial hypercholesteolemia with mRNA negative and mRNA positive mutations. *Artherosclerosis* 1998; 136: 247-54.
 63. Lutucuta S, Ballantyne CM, Elghannam H, Gotto AM Jr, Marian AJ. Novel polymorphisms in promoter region of ATP binding cassette transporter gene and plasma lipids, severity, progression, and regression of coronary atherosclerosis and response to therapy. *Circ Res* 2001; 88: 969-73.
 64. Martland-van der zee AH, Khingel OH, Srickler BH, et al. Genetic polymorphisms: Importance for response to HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. *Artherosclerosis* 2002; 163: 213-22.
 65. Classen DC, Pestotnik SL, Evans RS, Lloyd JF, Barke JP. Adverse drug events in hospitalized patients. Excess length of stay, extra costs and attributable mortality. *JAMA* 1997, 277: 301-6.
 66. Kalow W, Tang BK, Endenyi L. Hypothesis: Comparisons of inter-and intra-individual variations can substitute for twin studies in drug research. *Pharmacogenomics* 1998; 8:283-9.
 67. Phillips KA, Veenstra DL, Oren E, Lee JK, Sadee W. Potential role of pharmacogenomics in reducing adverse drug reactions: a systematic review. *JAMA* 2001; 286: 2270-9.
 68. Weinshilboun R. Inheritance and drug response. *N Eng J Med* 2003; 348:527-37.
 69. Macpherson H, Thomas K, Walters S, Fitter M. The York acupuncture safety study: prospective survey of 34,000 treatments by traditional acupuncturists. *Br Med J.* 2001; 323:486-7.
 70. Chen LC, Chou MH, Lin MF, Yang LL. Effects of Paeoniae Radex, a traditional Chinese medicine, on the pharmacokinetics of phenytoin. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 2001; 26: 271-8.
 71. Bensoussan A, Myers SP, Carlton AL. Risks associated with the practice of traditional Chinese medicine: an Australian study. *Arch Fam Med* 2000; 9: 1071-8.
 72. MHRA Press release: Herbal Medicines with toxic ingredients removed from UK Market. 2005.
 73. Wilkins MR. What do we want from proteomics in the detection and avoidance of adverse drug reactions. *Toxicol Lett* 2002; 127: 245-49.
 74. Vazquez de la Villa A, Luna-del Castillo JD, GaldoMunoz G, Pucke Canas E. Adverse reactions caused by drugs in paediatrics. *An Esp. Paediatr.* 1989; 31: 49-53.
 75. Bayard PJ, Berger TG, Jacobson MA. Drug hypersensitivity reactions and human immunodeficiency virus disease. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 1992; 5: 1237-57.
 76. Mizukawa Y, Shiohara T. Virus induced immune dysregulation as a triggering factor for the development of drug rashes and auto immune diseases: with emphasis on EB Virus, human herpes virus 6 and hepatitis C virus. *J Dermatol Sci* 2000; 22: 169-80.
 77. Albanell J, Baselga J. Systematic therapy emergencies. *Semin Oncol.* 2000; 27: 347-61.
 78. Labovich TM. Acute hypersensitivity reactions to chemotherapy. *Semin Oncol Nurs* 1999; 15: 222-31.
 79. Pirmohamed M, Park BK. HIV and drug allergy. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001; 1:311-6.
 80. Kiop man PP, van der Ven AJ, Vree TB, van der Meer JW. Pathogenesis of hypersensitivity reactions to drugs in patients with HIV infection: allergic or toxic? *AIDS* 1995; 9: 217-22.
 81. Adkinson NF Jr. Risk factors for drug allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1984; 74: 567-72.
 82. Sauchez-Borges M, Capriles-Hullet A. Atopy is a risk factor for non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug sensitivity. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2000; 84: 101-6.
 83. Montastruc JL, Lapeyre-Mestre M, Bagheri H, Fooladi A. Gender differences in adverse drug reactions: analysis of spontaneous reports to regional pharmacovigilance centre in France. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol.* 2002; 16: 324-45.
 84. Bates DW, Leape LL, Petrycki S. Incidence and preventability of adverse drug events in hospital adults. *J Gen Intern Med.* 1993; 8: 289-94.
 85. Turner S, Longworth A, Nunn AJ, Choonara I. Unlicensed and off-label drug use in paediatric wards: prospective study. *B M J* 1998; 316: 343-5.
 86. Conroy S, Choonara I, Impicciatore P, et al. Survey of unlicensed and off-label drug use in paediatric wards in European Countries. *BM J* 2000; 320: 79-82.